

Serial No. 09/656 364 - Page 3

that we had on May 31, 2002 concerning the submission of this Supplemental Amendment. As discussed, this Amendment is being submitted within a week of that conversation.

Support for Claim 35 can be found on page 3, lines 10 to 14 and page 4, lines 3 to 10 of the specification. Support for the recitation "high shear mixer" in Claim 36 can be found on page 5, lines 29-36 of the specification. Support for Claim 37 can be found on page 4, lines 12 to 2 of the specification. Support for Claim 38 can be found on page 3, lines 16 to 29 and in original Claim 25. Therefore, this Amendment does not add new matter to the application and its entry is respectfully solicited.

None of the prior art anticipates or renders obvious the composition of Claims 35, 36 or 37 because none teach or suggest the combination of components recited in Claims 35 and 36 or that the composition recited therein can be prepared without heating, solvent or grinding. Also, Claim 36 requires that mixing occur in a high shear mixer.

None of the cited prior art teaches or renders obvious Claim 38 for the reason set forth in the Response dated April 16, 2002.

Respectfully submitted,



Sidney B. Williams, Jr.

SBWJ\pcq/ad

FLYNN, THIEL, BOUTELL & TANIS, P.C. 2026 Rambling Road Kalamazoo, MI 49008-1699 Phone: (616) 381-1156 Fax: (616) 381-5465	Dale H. Thiel David G. Boutell Ronald J. Tanis Terryence F. Chapman Mark L. Maki David S. Goldenberg Sidney B. Williams, Jr. Liane L. Churney Brian R. Tumm Tricia R. Cobb	Reg. No. 24 323 Reg. No. 25 072 Reg. No. 22 724 Reg. No. 32 549 Reg. No. 36 589 Reg. No. 31 257 Reg. No. 24 949 Reg. No. 40 694 Reg. No. 36 328 Reg. No. 44 621
--	---	--

Encl: None